

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/046.090		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,090	01/16/2002	Jung Shen Lien	MR1197-505	2466
	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
3458 ELLICO	G, KLEIN & LEE TT CENTER DRIVE-SUI	TE 101	COLE, ELIZABETH M	
ELLICOTT C	ITY, MD 21043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		———([
		Applicant(s)	W
Office Action Summary	10/046,090	LIEN ET AL.	
1	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAII ING DATE of this communication	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Onuts to one		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application	on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
•	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected to by	the Eveniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he held in abeyong	See 27 OFD 4 OF()	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached (office Action and See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
	daminor. Note the attacheu (Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ž.	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(4) (4) 01 (1).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stan	•
application from the international Bureau	I (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	E
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rea	ceived	
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO_412)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:		
OL-326 (Rev. 1.04)	ion Summary		

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/22/04 has been entered.
- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18-20, 23, 24-26, 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen, U.S. Patent No. 5,316,837. Cohen discloses a method of forming a metal coating on a fabric. The fabric may comprise spun polymeric fibers, (col. 5, line 64 col. 6, line 3) which is placed in a vacuum chamber which is maintained at 10⁻⁴ Torr and extended to form a plane, (col. 6, lines 52 62), and then a flow of metal particles transverse to the planarly extended fabric are generated and plate a portion of the fabric, (col. 7, lines 1-35). Only one side of the fabric may be plated, (col. 36-44), which corresponds to the claimed first portion of the fabric which is plated with the metal particles while the unplated portion corresponds to the claimed second portion. The metal particles may be generated by sputtering, electron beam metal vapor deposition, (col. 7 lines 33-

Art Unit: 1771

35), as well as metal vapor deposition, plasma treatment and other treatment which deposit metals, (col. 6, lines 15-21). Suitable metals include aluminum, copper, tin, zinc, lead, nickel, iron, gold and silver, (col. 5, lines 55-57) as well as alloys such as copper based alloys, aluminum based alloys, titanium based alloys and iron based alloys (col. 5, lines 56-59). The fabric to be plated may be pretreated by chemical or plasma or corona discharge treatments. See col. 6, lines 29-34.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen, U.S. Patent No. 5,316,837 as applied to claims above, and further in view of Schilling et al, WO 98/10940 (equiv. To U.S. Patent No. 6,284,679). Cohen discloses a method of forming a metal coating on a fabric as set forth above. Cohen does not disclose multiple layers of metal particles being applied. Schilling teaches at col. 4, lines 15-55 that multiple layers and combinations of the metal particles may be applied. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed multiple layers and/or different metals to plate the fabric of Cohen. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply different

Application/Control Number: 10/046,090

Art Unit: 1771

metals and/or plural layers as taught by Schilling in order to impart particular properties to the metallized fabric.

- 6. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Feldman, U.S. Patent No. 5,974,784. Cohen discloses a method of plating a fabric as set forth above. Cohen differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose pre-coating the fabric with a polymer and does not teach employing a fabric with multiple layers. Feldman discloses a three layer fabric which may be coated with thermoplastic resin and which may be plated by metallic particles while under vacuum. See col. 2, line 22 col. 3, line 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed a multiple layer fabric as taught by Feldman because Feldman teaches that such fabrics are suitable for metallizing. It further would have been obvious to have employed a polymeric pre-coating as taught by Feldman, motivated by the teaching of Feldman that this would have enhanced the adhesion of the particles to the fabric.
- 7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Bieler et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,660,923. Cohen does not disclose employing metal containing powders with ceramic powders. Bieler teaches at col. 5, lines 4-14 that both metal powders, ceramic powders and alloy powders may be employed for applying a coating to fibers by vacuum plating. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to have employed

Art Unit: 1771

ceramic powders to coat the fabric of Cohen. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ such powders by the teaching of Bieler that such powders are art recognized equivalents for this purpose.

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's amendment has overcome the 112 2nd paragraph rejection set forth in the previous action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner

x Was

Art Unit 1771